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## Split-ticket voters

- Dividing electoral choice across parties and candidates
- Weakening of party identification leading to more split-ticket behaviour
- Instrumental choice - divided government, moderating policy
- Tactical choices - campaign messages
- Expressive voting - support and protest


## Outline

- Fifth consecutive occasion combining general and local elections
- Opportunities to observe differences in the aggregate voting data
- BES provide individual-level data - examining trend in split-ticket voting since 1979
- Large N survey in 2015 permits within party comparisons between loyalists and splitters


## Aggregate data

## Voting in metropolitan boroughs

|  | Con | Lab | LD | Green | UKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Constituencies <br> $2015(\mathrm{~N}=)$ | 117 | 118 | 107 | 105 | 117 |
| Median \% | 111.2 | 104.5 | 79.6 | 60.4 | 101.4 |
| Constituencies <br> $2010(\mathrm{~N}=)$ | 118 | 118 | 116 | 40 | 51 |
| Median \% | 106.4 | 106 | 91.3 | 43.3 | 195.8 |

- Values are general election vote as pct of local vote
- Median values used because of large range
- Trend for two major parties continues
- Lib Dems revert to prior pattern < 2010
- Greens \& Ukip fight more wards in 2015


## Voting in districts/unitary councils

|  | Con | Lab | LD | Green | UKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Constituencies <br> $2015(N=)$ | 73 | 73 | 69 | 62 | 72 |
| Median \% | 113.8 | 104 | 75.3 | 61.6 | 101.2 |
| Constituencies <br> $2010(N=)$ | 78 | 77 | 77 | 39 | 40 |
| Median \% | 106.7 | 102.9 | 87.6 | 47.6 | 129.5 |

- $N$ of constituencies reduced by boundary changes
- Values are general election vote as pct of local vote
- Median values used because of large range
- Con ge vote increases as pct of local vote
- Lib Dems revert to prior pattern < 2010
- Greens \& Ukip fight more wards in 2015


## The Conservative vote 2010 \& 2015




## The Labour vote 2010 \& 2015




## The Lib Dem vote 2010 \& 2015




## The Ukip vote 2010 \& 2015




## The Green vote 2010 \& 2015




## Survey evidence

## Headlines

- According to BES some $26 \%$ of voters are ticket splitters in 2015 cf circa 20\% in 2010
- Approximately a fifth of Conservative and Labour ge voters supported other parties with local vote
- More than a third of Lib Dems did so
- More than four in ten Greens and Ukip g.e. voters split tickets
- Did this opportunity impact upon polling accuracy?


## Proportion of party loyalists

|  | 1979 | 1997 | 2010 | 2015 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conservative | 83 | 76 | 78 | 72 |
| Labour | 82 | 75 | 78 | 74 |
| Lib (Dem) | 43 | 53 | 65 | 40 |

Source: British Election Studies

## How voters divided choices in 2015

|  |  |  |  | Local |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vote |  |  |  |  | 年

Source: British Election Study 2015

Splitters - 21\% Con; 18\% Lab; 37\% LD; 42\% Ukip \& Greens

## The flow of voters from general to local



## Split-ticket voters in three main parties

|  | 1979 | 1997 | 2010 | 2015 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conservative general vote/Conservative local vote | 42.5 | 32.3 | 36.7 | 40.9 |
| Conservative general vote/Labour local vote | 2.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.6 |
| Conservative general vote/Liberal (Democrat) local vote | 5.0 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| Labour general vote/Conservative local vote | 1.6 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| Labour general vote/Labour local vote | 30.8 | 34 | 31.8 | 39.8 |
| Labour general vote/Liberal (Democrat) local vote | 4.4 | 5.2 | 1.8 | 2.5 |
| Liberal (Democrat) general vote/Conservative local vote | 1.6 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.2 |
| Liberal (Democrat) general vote/Labour local vote | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| Liberal (Democrat) general vote/Liberal (Democrat) local | 10.1 | 16.1 | 20.8 | $\mathbf{8 . 4}$ |
| vote | $\mathbf{1 6 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 9}$ |
| Total 'splitters' |  |  |  |  |

Source: British Election Study 2015

## The broader picture and Ukip

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Type of voter | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ |
| Conservative general vote./UKIP local vote | 0.6 | 2.4 |
| Labour general vote/UKIP local vote | - | 0.7 |
| Liberal (Democrat) general vote/UKIP local vote | - | 0.2 |
| UKIP general vote/ Conservative local vote | 0.4 | 2.3 |
| UKIP general vote/Labour local vote | 0.3 | 0.8 |
| UKIP general vote/Liberal (Democrat) local vote | - | 0.9 |
| UKIP general vote/UKIP local vote | 0.7 | 7.4 |

## Social characteristics of split-ticket voters

- Men more likely than women
- Older rather than younger
- Minority ethnic least likely
- Higher educated
- Social renters least likely
- Higher earning households


## Strength of party identification

|  | split-ticket <br> voter | party <br> loyalist |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Does not identify with party | 37.4 | 62.6 |
| Not very strongly identifies with party | 36.9 | 63.1 |
| Very/fairly strongly identifies with party | 21.3 | 78.7 |
| Total | 25.7 | 74.3 |

Split-ticket versus loyalists 1979-2015

|  | 1979 | 1997 | 2010 | 2015 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B (SE) | B (SE) | B (SE) | B (SE) |
| STRENGTH | $-1.12(0.365)^{* *}$ | $-0.86(0.235)^{* *}$ | $-0.86(0.346)^{* *}$ | $-1.08(0.08)^{* *}$ |
| CONFEEL | $-0.06(0.099)$ | $-0.26(0.509)$ | $0.16(0.064)^{* *}$ | $-0.04(0.01)^{* *}$ |
| LABFEEL | $0.14(0.089)$ | $0.71(0.578)$ | $0.17(0.066)^{* *}$ | $-0.04(0.01)^{* *}$ |
| LIBFEEL | $-0.12(0.083)$ | $1.00(0.612)$ | $0.13(0.081)$ | $0.10(0.01)^{* *}$ |
| OTHERP | $0.67(0.383)^{*}$ | $1.05(0.239)^{* *}$ | $n / a$ | $0.07(0.01)^{* *+}$ |
| DEGREE | $0.32(0.767)$ | $0.39(0.316)$ | $0.14(0.306)$ | $0.20(0.06)^{* *}$ |
| AGE | $0.00(0.012)$ | $0.01(0.008)$ | $0.01(0.008)$ | $0.01(0.002)^{* *}$ |
| INCOME | $0.08(0.064)$ | $0.28(0.095)^{* *}$ | $0.03(0.035)$ | $0.02(0.01)^{*}$ |
| TACTICAL | $0.23(0.408)$ | $0.58(0.325)$ | $1.79(0.521)^{* *}$ | $0.96(0.09)^{* *}$ |
| Constant | $-1.72(1.29)$ | $-3.82(.911)^{* *}$ | $-5.1(.963)^{* *}$ | $-1.12(0.16)^{* *}$ |
| \% correct | 56 | 82.9 | 91.1 | 74.5 |
| Nagelkerke $R^{2}$ | 0.153 | 0.178 | 0.092 | 0.1 |
| N= | 266 | 643 | 757 | 8,602 |

## Straight ticket versus LD/Ukip splitters

|  | Con/Con vs. | Con/Con vs. | Lab/Lab vs. | Lab/Lab vs. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Con GE/LD local | Con/UKIP | Lab/LD | Lab/UKIP |
| Strength | $0.93(0.26)^{* *}$ | $1.08(0.33)^{* *}$ | $-0.40(0.36)$ | $1.16(0.54)^{*}$ |
| CONfeel | $0.25(0.05)^{* *}$ | $0.21(0.07)^{* *}$ | $0.06(0.04)$ | $0.13(0.08)$ |
| LABfeel | $0.10(0.04)^{* *}$ | $0.04(0.04)$ | $0.34(0.05)^{* *}$ | $0.26(0.09)^{* *}$ |
| LDfeel | $-0.33(0.04)^{* *}$ | $0.04(0.04)$ | $-0.42(0.04)^{* *}$ | $0.09(0.07)$ |
| UKIPfeel | $0.06(0.03)^{*}$ | $-0.57(0.05)^{* *}$ | $-0.04(0.04)$ | $-0.49(0.06)^{* *}$ |
| Degree | $-0.18(0.17)$ | $0.25(0.20)$ | $-0.20(0.19)$ | $-0.34(0.37)$ |
| Age | $-0.02(0.01)^{* *}$ | $-0.02(0.01)^{*}$ | $-0.02(0.01)^{* *}$ | $-0.03(0.01)^{*}$ |
| Income | $0.01(0.02)$ | $-0.02(0.03)$ | $-0.06(0.03)^{*}$ | $-0.12(0.05)^{*}$ |
| Tactical | $-0.79(0.28)^{* *}$ | $-0.88(0.29)^{* *}$ | $0.01(0.31)$ | $0.36(0.51)$ |
| LDwinner2010ge | $-1.72(0.24)^{* *}$ | $0.88(0.48)$ | $-2.28(0.27)^{* *}$ | $0.50(0.80)$ |
| LDsecond2010ge | $-0.68(0.17)^{* *}$ | $0.45(0.19)^{*}$ | $-1.01(0.20)^{* *}$ | $0.55(0.35)$ |
| Constant | $2.50(0.59)^{* *}$ | $3.92(0.76)^{* *}$ | $4.25(0.63)^{* *}$ | $4.32(0.97)^{* *}$ |
| \% correct | $92.7(8.2 / 99.6)$ | $93.6(10.7 / 99.2)$ | $94.0(8.1 / 99.5)$ | $97.9(1.5$ / 99.9) |
| Nagelkerke R 2 | 0.21 | 0.34 | 0.27 | 0.3 |
| N | 2,744 | 2,706 | 2,696 | 2,585 |

